

Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	Bogan Local Environmental Plan 2011 - proposed changes to minimum lot sizes (60 lots)
Proposal Summary :	Council submitted a proposal to amend the minimum lot size of 60 lots, currently zoned RU1 Primary Production.
	The intent of this proposal is to enable a dwelling on each lot, without permitting further subdivision of the land. Council proposes the application of a variable minimum lot size across each of these lots to achieve this (Tag A).
	Council makes it expressly clear that the intention of the planning proposal is to ensure that no further subdivision could be carried out on the lot as a result of the amendment.
	The Agency supports the planning proposal as submitted. However, it is considered that a portion of the identified lots (Tag A)be rezoned from RU1 to R5 (Tag B). 45 lots are identified for this rezoning. The primary reason for this rezoning is to better reflect the existing on-the-ground settlement pattern and intended future use of these lots, essentially for residential purposes.
	The rezoning of these 45 lots was an option considered by Council's consultant Geolyse in their report to Council dated March 2014; however this option was not further investigated, as they believed it would enable further subdivision potential. It is noted that this option was no considered in conjunction with the application of a variable MLS to prohibit this from occurring.
	The reasons why such a rezoning is considered appropriate for these lots is: - The 45 lots proposed for rezoning range in size from 0.53ha – 19.46ha, which are sizes more conducive to an R5 zone and with the application of a potential dwelling on each lot, the zone objectives of the R5 zone are more appropriate:
	• To provide residential housing in a rural setting while preserving, and minimising impacts on, environmentally sensitive locations and scenic quality.
	<ul> <li>To ensure that large residential lots do not hinder the proper and orderly development of urban areas in the future.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>To ensure that development in the area does not unreasonably increase the demand for public services or public facilities.</li> </ul>
	• To minimise conflict between land uses within this zone and land uses within adjoining zones.
	<ul> <li>The objectives of the RU1 zone are no longer considered applicable or appropriate for this land, given the existing MLS:</li> <li>To encourage sustainable primary industry production by maintaining and enhancing the</li> </ul>
	natural resource base. • To encourage diversity in primary industry enterprises and systems appropriate for the area.
	<ul> <li>To minimise the fragmentation and alienation of resource lands.</li> <li>To minimise conflict between land uses within this zone and land uses within adjoining zones.</li> </ul>
	- Existing heavy fragmentation renders the 45 lots as no longer being capable of genuine productive agricultural activities.
PP Number :	PP_2014_BOGAN_001_00 Dop File No : 14/05943

## Proposal Details

Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	12-Mar-2014	LGA covered :	Bogan
Region :	Western	RPA :	Bogan Shire Council
State Electorate :	BARWON	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street : M	itchell Highway		
Suburb : Ny	yngan	City :	Postcode :
Land Parcel : R	U1 - Primary Production		
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Rebecca Kell		
Contact Number :	0268412195		
Contact Email :	rebecca.kell@planning	.nsw.gov.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ails		
Contact Name :	Derek Francis		
Contact Number :	0268359000		
Contact Email :	admin@bogan.nsw.gov	v.au	
DoP Project Mana	ager Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			
Land Release Dat	ta		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy	N/A

Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	N/A	Consistent with Strategy	N/A
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	t Yes		
If No, comment			

Have there been No meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :

If Yes, comment :

## Supporting notes

Internal Supporting Notes :

External Supporting Notes :

## Adequacy Assessment

## Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment ::

To reduce the minimum lot size for 60 lots surrounding Nyngan currently zoned RU1 Primary Production. The overarching intent of this proposal is to enable the potential of a dwelling on each of these lots.

### Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The proposed outcome is proposed to be achieved through the amendment of Lot Size Map LSZ\_008A of the Bogan Local Environmental Plan 2011 by amending the minimum lot size for various parcels of land as outlined below: a) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 0 and 2 hectares –

reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 12,500 meters (20 lots); b) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 2 and 4 hectares – to reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 2.25 hectares (13 lots); c) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 4 and 8 hectares – to reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 5 hectares (8 lots); d) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 8 and 16 hectares – to reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 9 hectares (5 lots); e) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 16 and 32 hectares – to reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 9 hectares (5 lots); e) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 16 and 32 hectares – to reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 17 hectares (6 lots); f) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 32 and 64 hectares – to reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 33 hectares (4 lots); g) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 64 and 128 hectares – to reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 23 hectares (3 lots); and h) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 128 and 170 hectares – to reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 25 hectares (3 lots); and h) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 128 and 170 hectares – to reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 65 hectares (3 lots); and h) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 128 and 170 hectares – to reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 129 hectares (1 lot)

In addition, for the rezoning amendment proposed by Planning and Infrastructure, Land Zoning Map LZN\_008A of the Bogan Local Environmental Plan 2011 should be amended to identify the lots (Tag x) as R5 Large Lot Residential.

## Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :	1.2 Rural Zones
t May need the Director Concrel's agreement	1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
* May need the Director General's agreement	1.5 Rural Lands
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

## Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

## Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

In response to section 5.5.2 of 'A Guide to Preparing Local Environment Plans', the planning proposal is considered to the low impact and therefore requires a consultation period of 14 days.

## **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

### Proposal Assessment

#### Principal LEP:

LEP :

Due Date : April 2014

Comments in The Bogan Local Environmental Plan was made in 2011. relation to Principal

## Assessment Criteria

Need for planning<br/>proposal :The proposed amendment to the LEP will reduce the minimum lot size to enable dwelling<br/>development across the 60 lots; however through careful planning of the appropriate<br/>minimum lot size, further subdivision would not be enabled. This is proposed to be<br/>achieved by applying a variable minimum lot size across each of the lots, as identified in<br/>Map 02C\_TP04 211 054 Revision C.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The Agency's recommendation to rezone the fragmented RU1 lots to R5 Large Lot Residential is inconsistent with the endorsed Land Use Strategy.					
HANGWOIN .	However, given th	However, given the existing heavily fragmented settlement pattern this is considered a				
			ize of the existing lots is not			
			uits, particularly once a dwe RU1 zone are virtually aban			
			e R5 zone are more suitable,		and entrealing	
			in a rural setting while pres		nising impacts	
	on, environmental	ly sensitive lo	ocations and scenic quality.			
	<ul> <li>to ensure that lar urban areas in the</li> </ul>	-	l lots do not hinder the prop	er and orderly de	velopment of	
			the area does not unreason	ably increase the	demand for	
	public services or • to minimise conf zones.	•	and uses within this zone an	nd land uses withi	n adjoining	
			ocated to the south of these	allotments, and a	as such this is	
	considered a logic					
			Ministerial Directions application			
			justifiable outcome. This rez			
			lustries in their submission lots that appear to be most a			
			is may be considered to be			
	closely located to					
	As such, despite of for the proposed r		rith an endorsed land use str	rategy, their is str	ategic merit	
Environmental social economic impacts :	The proposed rezoning does not trigger any immediate environmental or social impacts.Consultation with the Office of Environment and Heritage has been carried out prior to lodgement of this planning proposal. Some issues were identified with regard to development in areas of environmental sensitivity. Further consultation with this agency is recommended in the Gateway. This will further address any issues, as a result of the proposed R5 rezoning.					
	In addition, any im a development ap	-	required to be assessed via e.	Section 79C of th	e EP&A Act at	
ssessment Proces	SS					
Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days		
Timeframe to make LEP :	24 months		Delegation :			
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)	Office of Environn NSW Department NSW Rural Fire Se Transport for NSW	of Primary Indervice	tage dustries - Agriculture			
(d) :	Transport for Nor					
		No				
(d) : Is Public Hearing by th (2)(a) Should the matte	e PAC required?	No Yes				

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

## Bushfire

Flooding If Other, provide reasons :

## Flood Study - Floodplain Risk Management Plan Bushfire - Bushfire Assessment Report

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

## No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

## Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
proposal letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	No
planning proposal - proposed changes to minimum lot	Proposal	No
size March 2014.pdf		
proposed lot sizes map.pdf	Мар	No
proposed minimum lot size map.pdf	Мар	No

## Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.2 Rural Zones
	1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
	1.5 Rural Lands
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions
Additional Information	The planning proposal is supported, with the following conditions:
	1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the
	Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
	(a) The planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and (b) The relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public
	exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning & Infrastructure 2013)
	(c) The Flood Study is to be publicly exhibited at the same time as the planning proposal, for a
	2. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it
	may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	3. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 24 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
	4. Prepare LEP mapping to amend the Minimum Lot Size Map LSZ_008A of the Bogan

	Local Environmental Plan 2011 as outlined below (lot sizes are to be consistent with the
	lot sizes proposed in map 02C_TP04 211 054 Revision C):
	a) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 0 and 2 hectares –
	reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 12,500 meters (20 lots);
	b) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 2 and 4 hectares – to
	reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 2.25 hectares (13 lots);
	c) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 4 and 8 hectares – to
	reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 5 hectares (8 lots); d) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 8 and 16 hectares – to
	reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 9 hectares (5 lots);
	e) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 16 and 32 hectares
	-to reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 17 hectares (6 lots);
	f) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 32 and 64 hectares –
	to reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 33 hectares (4 lots);
	g) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 64 and 128 hectares –
	to reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 65 hectares (3 lots); and
	h) For land zoned RU1 – Primary Production with a size of between 128 and 170 hectares
	- to reduce the minimum lot size from the current 600 hectares to 129 hectares (1 lot)
	5.Prepare LEP mapping to amend the Land Zoning Map LZN_008A of the Bogan Local
	Environmental Plan 2011 as outlined below:
	a)45 lots identified in Tag B to be mapped as Zone R5 Large Lot Residential
	6. Council is to consult with the following agencies:
	- NSW Rural Fire Service Commissioner
	- NSW Office of Environment & Heritage
	Department of Primary Industries     Department of Primary Industries     Office of Water
	- Department of Primary Industries - Office of Water - Roads & Maritime Services
Supporting Reasons :	117 Directions
	Direction 1.2 – Rural Zones
	The PP as submitted by Council was considered consistent with this direction, in that the
	proposal did not seek to rezone any land from rural to another zone.
	However, as the proposal has been amended by the Agency the proposal is now
	considered inconsistent for the following reasons as land is proposed to be rezoned from
	RU1 to R5, and permissible density of that land is increased by way of providing 1
	dwelling opportunity for each allotment.
	As such an assessment of this direction has been carried out by the Agency and the
	inconsistency with this direction has been justified as minor significance for the
	following reasons:
	- the objective of Direction 1.2 Rural Lands is to protect the agricultural production value
	of rural land. The 45 lots proposed for rezoning are heavily fragmented with their capacity
	to be considered viable productive agricultural land substantially diminished.
	- the existing lot sizes of the proposed lots to be rezoned are not of a size which would
	adequately allow genuine agricultural activities to be carried out;
	- the 45 lots identified for rezoning are adjacent to existing R5 zoned land and recreational
	zoned land;
	- Council has submitted a proposal that allows for a dwelling on each lot without allowing
	for further subdivision;
	- any biodiversity that exists across the lots is heavily fragmented and separated across
	the lots; and
	- a merit based assessment for any development application on these lots will capture
	and adequately address any issues as they arise.
	Direction 1.3 – Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
	Council have noted that preliminary consultation with Trade and Investment, Resources and Energy division has occurred, with regard to the reduction in MLS. A submission was

made by the agency and no objections to the reduction in MLS were lodged. As such Council deems that this direction is inconsistent and justified as minor significance. This is considered appropriate in this instance.

## Direction 1.5 – Rural lands

The Agency agrees that Council has adequately addressed the requirements stated in Direction 1.5 Rural Lands. Section (3) (b) of this direction is triggered by this proposal to change the MLS of land with a rural zoning. In satisfying this direction, Council has addressed the Rural Subdivision Principles contained within the Rural Lands SEPP. Council's assessment of these principles is considered adequate with a brief summary of the assessment provided below:

- The proposal does not seek to further subdivide any identified allotment, therefore reducing rural fragmentation.

- Land use conflict is expected to be minimised given the proximity to the town of Nyngan, Council has noted the development application process will also enforce controls for the construction of new dwellings to reduce conflict.

- Existing agricultural holdings will be maintained, as no further subdivision is proposed, or will be permitted (by way of applying a variable MLS)

- Consideration of the natural and physical constraints of the land has occurred. Some of the lands may be constrained by way of flooding and bushfire, however this can be more adequately addressed at development application stage. A flood report/study is proposed to be prepared upon receiving a Gateway. The Agency notes that this requirement will form a condition on the Gateway.

#### Direction 4.3 – Flood Prone Land

This direction applies to the Planning Proposal as provisions affecting flood prone land are proposed. Currently the proposal is inconsistent with this direction, however in Council's assessment it is noted that a flood study will be carried out upon receiving a Gateway and a Floodplain Risk Management Plan will be prepared to satisfy consistency with this direction.

The inconsistency with this direction is supported by the Agency at this time. The Agency acknowledges Council's intention to carry out a flood study for the 60 lots located outside of the Nyngan levee bank.

However, it is noted that the requirement to complete a flood study and prepare a Floodplain Risk Management Plan is required before this proposal will be supported for making. This requirement will form part of the conditions for the Gateway.

#### Direction 4.4 - Planning for Bushfire Protection

Council has made an assessment against Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection and noted that Council identifies the Direction as relevant due to parts of the proposed land being either mapped or in proximity to land mapped as bush fire prone.

Currently, the proposal is inconsistent with the direction and Council acknowledges this. However, Council also notes that consultation with the NSW RFS Commissioner and the preparation of a bushfire assessment report are required prior to the making of the LEP amendment. On this basis, this inconsistency is deemed of minor significance at this time. A condition is to be place on the Gateway requiring Council to consult with the Commissioner and submit the bushfire assessment report with the LEP post exhibition.

## Direction 6.1 – Approval and Referral Requirements

Council has assessed this direction and has deemed that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of the direction. This is supported by the Agency. The proposal does not result in any increase for referral or concurrence from other State Agencies.

Direction 6.3 – Site Specific Provisions

	The Agency agrees with Councils assessment of this direction. In addition an assessment has been carried out of this direction with regard to the proposed rezoning of land from RU1 to R5. The planning proposal allows the proposed land use (dwelling) to be carried out in the applicable zones (RU1 and R5). The proposal as amended by the Agency will allow for rezoning without imposing any other development standards in addition to those already contained in the zone.
Signature:	m
Printed Name:	Daniel Wagner 4/4/14